

1. (10 points) (**How good is greedy for Vertex Cover**) This will drive down the reason we study other algorithms for set cover even though in general we know that greedy is optimal. There could be a large family of instances which have structure where we can outperform greedy.
- (a) (10 points) Construct an example where the greedy algorithm has an approximation ratio of $\Omega(\log n)$ for the vertex cover problem where there are n vertices in the graph.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2.$ □

2. (25 points) (**Finishing the Set Cover Rounding Proof**) We'd left the final parts of the proof as homework. You'll now complete this.
- (a) (10 points) We showed the following two properties which our rounding algorithm satisfies (if we repeated the randomized rounding experiment for $T = 2 \ln n$ steps: (i) the expected cost is $2 \ln n \text{Opt}$ where Opt is the cost of the optimal LP fractional solution, and (ii) the probability with which all elements are covered is at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Show that there with some constant probability, we will find a solution which has cost at most $O(1) \ln n$ and also covers all the elements. (Hint: Use Markov's inequality and the union bound)

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2.$ □

- (b) (10 points) Now if instead of running our rounding $T = 2 \ln n$ times, if we had run it a different number (say, $\ln n + C \ln \ln n$) of times. Then try to optimize the parameters and show that we will compute, with some non-trivial probability of $\Omega(\frac{1}{\ln n})$, a solution where the cost is $(\ln n + O(\ln \ln n)) \text{Opt}$ and all elements are covered.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2.$ □

- (c) (5 points) Finally boost the success probability above by repeating this algorithm some number of times. Roughly how many times do you need to run to get probability of failure to be e^{-n} ?

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2.$ □

3. (20 points) (**Integrality Gap for Robust Min-Sum-Set-Cover**) Consider the generalization of min-sum-set-cover where the cover time of an element is defined to be the first time when the element is covered K times, for a given parameter K . We will now show that the natural LP has a large integrality gap for this instance.

(a) (10 points) Write the natural LP for this problem.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$. □

- (b) (10 points) Consider the following instance, and show that it has a large integrality gap. The universe of elements $U = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}$. The sets are $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1 \equiv \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}, S_2 \equiv \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}, \dots, S_n \equiv \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l\}, S_{n+1} = \{e_1\}, S_{n+2} = \{e_2\}, \dots, S_{n+l} = \{e_l\}\}$. Suppose the coverage requirement $K = (n + 1)$. Show that we can set values of l and n so that the LP solution and integral solutions have a large gap. For this, you need to exhibit some fractional solution of low cost and show that all integral solutions have much larger cost.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$. □

4. (30 points) (**Structure of a fractional optimum for the vertex cover LP relaxation**) Recall in class that we wrote down an integer linear program of two variable inequalities (one per edge) such that a feasible 0-1 solution is a vertex cover. Let VC denote this integer linear program, and let LPVC denote the vertex relaxation. Let x^* an optimum solution to LPVC and let V_0, V_1, V_h be the 3 vertex sets of the graph as discussed in class.

(a) (5 points) Show that $N(V_0) = V_1$.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$. □

- (b) (10 points) Show that the value of x^* is $|V_1| - |V_0| + \frac{|V_h|}{2}$.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$. □

- (c) (5 points) Show that all the corner points of the polytope are half-integral.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$.



- (d) (10 points) Use the above arguments to compute the minimum vertex cover of a tree.

Solution:

Proof. $1 + 1 = 2$.

